

NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT
AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE
RULES. See Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.

FILED BY CLERK

JAN 23 2009

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
STATE OF ARIZONA
DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,

Appellee,

v.

AMADI SCHALON CANNON,

Appellant.

2 CA-CR 2008-0250

DEPARTMENT A

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Not for Publication

Rule 111, Rules of
the Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PIMA COUNTY

Cause No. CR-20071520

Honorable Richard S. Fields, Judge

AFFIRMED

Isabel G. Garcia, Pima County Legal Defender
By Stephan J. McCaffery

Tucson
Attorneys for Appellant

E S P I N O S A, Judge.

¶1 Following a jury trial, appellant Amadi Cannon was convicted of sale of a narcotic drug, a class two felony. After finding that Cannon had two prior felony convictions, the trial court sentenced him to a “partially substantially” mitigated, twelve-year

prison term. Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), stating he has thoroughly reviewed the record and has found no meritorious issues to raise on appeal. He asks us to search the record for error. Cannon has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶2 Viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to sustaining the verdict, we find there was sufficient evidence to support the jury's finding of guilt. *See State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999). And, the sentence is well within statutory parameters and was lawfully imposed. Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental, reversible error and have found none. Therefore, we affirm Cannon's conviction and sentence.

PHILIP G. ESPINOSA, Judge

CONCURRING:

JOHN PELANDER, Chief Judge

JOSEPH W. HOWARD, Presiding Judge